www.redjournal.org

Clinical Investigation

Hyperthermia and Radiation Therapy in Locoregional Recurrent Breast Cancers: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis



Niloy R. Datta, MD,* Emsad Puric, MD,* Dirk Klingbiel, PhD,† Silvia Gomez, MD,* and Stephan Bodis, MD*,‡

*Center for Radiation Oncology KSA-KSB, Kantonsspital Aarau, Aarau, Switzerland; †Swiss Group for Clinical Cancer Research, Coordinating Center, Bern, Switzerland; and †Department of Radiation Oncology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Received Oct 14, 2015, and in revised form Dec 9, 2015. Accepted for publication Dec 15, 2015.

Summary

A systematic review and meta-analysis was carried out to evaluate the treatment outcomes of locally recurrent breast cancers (LRBCs) with radiation therapy and hyperthermia. Results from 34 studies, totaling to 2110 patients, shows that radiation therapy and hyperthermia could provide a complete response in more than 60% of these patients. In those who were reirradiated, 66.6% achieved a complete response without any additional significant treatment morbidity. Thermoradiation therapy thus provides a safe and effective therapeutic option in LRBCs.

Purpose: To conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the outcome of hyperthermia (HT) and radiation therapy (RT) in locally recurrent breast cancers (LRBCs).

Methods and Materials: A total of 708 abstracts were screened from 8 databases according to the PRISMA guidelines. Single-arm and 2-arm studies, treating LRBCs with HT and RT but without surgery (for local recurrence) or concurrent chemotherapy were considered. The evaluated endpoint was complete response (CR).

Results: Thirty-one full text articles, pertaining to 34 studies, were shortlisted for the meta-analysis. Eight were 2-arm (randomized, n=5; nonrandomized, n=3), whereas 26 were single-arm studies. In all, 627 patients were enrolled in 2-arm and 1483 in single-arm studies. Patients were treated with a median of 7 HT sessions, and an average temperature of 42.5°C was attained. Mean RT dose was 38.2 Gy (range, 26-60 Gy). Hyperthermia was most frequently applied after RT. In the 2-arm studies, a CR of 60.2% was achieved with RT + HT versus 38.1% with RT alone (odds ratio 2.64, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.66-4.18, P<.0001). Risk ratio and risk difference were 1.57 (95% CI 1.25-1.96, P<.0001) and 0.22 (95% CI 0.11-0.33, P<.0001), respectively. In 26 single-arm studies, RT + HT attained a CR of 63.4% (event rate 0.62, 95% CI 0.57-0.66). Moreover, 779 patients had been previously irradiated (696 from single-arm and 83 from 2-arm studies). A CR of 66.6% (event rate 0.64, 95% CI 0.58-0.70) was achieved with HT and reirradiation (mean \pm SD dose: 36.7 \pm 7.7 Gy). Mean acute and late grade 3/4 toxicities with RT + HT were 14.4% and 5.2%, respectively.

Reprint requests to: Niloy R. Datta, MD, Department of Radiation Oncology KSA-KSB, Kantonsspital Aarau, Tellstrasse, Aargau, CH-5001, Switzerland. Tel: (+41) 62-8389559; E-mail: niloyranjan.datta@ksa.ch; nrdatta@yahoo.com

The study was supported by a partial grant from Krebsliga Aargau (to N.R.D.).

Conflict of interest: none.

Supplementary material for this article can be found at www.redjournal.org.

Acknowledgment—The authors thank Prof. M. Borenstein, Biostat, Inc, Englewood, NJ, for guidance and critical input on the meta-analysis carried out in this study; and Dr Susanne Rogers for reviewing the manuscript.

Int J Radiation Oncol Biol Phys, Vol. 94, No. 5, pp. 1073—1087, 2016 0360-3016/\$ - see front matter © 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2015.12.361